# PEDIATRICS®

Specialty Specific Comparisons Regarding Perspectives on Fellowship Training Gary L. Freed, Kelly M. Dunham, Lauren M. Moran, Laura Spera, Gail A. McGuinness and David K. Stevenson *Pediatrics* 2014;133;S76 DOI: 10.1542/peds.2013-3861F

The online version of this article, along with updated information and services, is located on the World Wide Web at: http://pediatrics.aappublications.org/content/133/Supplement\_2/S76.full.html

PEDIATRICS is the official journal of the American Academy of Pediatrics. A monthly publication, it has been published continuously since 1948. PEDIATRICS is owned, published, and trademarked by the American Academy of Pediatrics, 141 Northwest Point Boulevard, Elk Grove Village, Illinois, 60007. Copyright © 2014 by the American Academy of Pediatrics. All rights reserved. Print ISSN: 0031-4005. Online ISSN: 1098-4275.



DEDICATED TO THE HEALTH OF ALL CHIEDREN

## Specialty Specific Comparisons Regarding Perspectives on Fellowship Training

## abstract

**BACKGROUND:** As part of a recent effort by the American Board of Pediatrics to examine fellowship training, a task force was established to review the components of training and develop recommendations for any modifications deemed necessary. To inform the task force, several studies were undertaken to gain insights and perspectives from current fellows, those who had completed fellowship training, and program directors regarding their experiences and the perceived value of their training. This article provides the findings for each subspecialty in tables that allow comparison with all other subspecialties for each study population.

**METHODS:** All data were first analyzed for missing or incomplete response patterns. Frequency distributions of all findings were prepared for each specific subspecialty. Next,  $\chi^2$  statistics were used to compare each subspecialty with the others. A *P* value <.05 was considered significant.

**RESULTS:** Results for each question for each study population are shown in the tables, provided in the online data supplement. Supplemental Tables 1–29 are for program directors, Supplemental Tables 30–48 are for current fellows, Supplemental Tables 49–76 are for recent fellowship graduates, and Supplemental Tables 77–99 are for midcareer subspecialists.

**CONCLUSIONS:** There are significant differences between the subspecialties in their perceptions of a variety of issues involving fellowship training. *Pediatrics* 2014;133:S76–S77

**AUTHORS:** Gary L. Freed, MD, MPH,<sup>a,b,c</sup> Kelly M. Dunham, MPP,<sup>a,b</sup> Lauren M. Moran, BA,<sup>a,b</sup> Laura Spera, MS, MCS,<sup>a,b</sup> Gail A. McGuinness, MD,<sup>d</sup> and David K. Stevenson, MD<sup>e</sup> on behalf of The Research Advisory Committee of the American Board of Pediatrics

<sup>a</sup>Child Health Evaluation and Research (CHEAR) Unit, <sup>b</sup>Division of General Pediatrics, Department of Pediatrics and Communicable Diseases, and <sup>c</sup>Department of Health Management and Policy, School of Public Health, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, Michigan; <sup>d</sup>The American Board of Pediatrics, Chapel Hill, North Carolina; and <sup>e</sup>Department of Pediatrics, Stanford University School of Medicine, Palo Alto, California

#### **KEY WORDS**

fellowship, training, subspecialty, education

#### ABBREVIATION

ABP—American Board of Pediatrics

Dr Freed conceptualized and designed the study and critically reviewed and revised the manuscript; Ms Dunham designed the data collection instrument, coordinated and supervised data collection, and drafted the initial manuscript; Ms Moran conducted data collection and tracking, coded the responses, and reviewed and revised the manuscript; Ms Spera carried out the analyses and reviewed and revised the manuscript; Dr McGuinness reviewed and revised the data collection instrument and critically reviewed the manuscript; Dr Stevenson reviewed and interpreted the data, critically reviewed the manuscript; and all authors approved the final manuscript as submitted.

www.pediatrics.org/cgi/doi/10.1542/peds.2013-3861F

doi:10.1542/peds.2013-3861F

Accepted for publication Jan 30, 2014

Address correspondence to Gary L. Freed, MD, MPH, University of Michigan, Child Health Evaluation and Research (CHEAR) Unit, 300 North Ingalls Building, Room 6D18, Ann Arbor, MI 48109-0456. E-mail: gfreed@med.umich.edu

PEDIATRICS (ISSN Numbers: Print, 0031-4005; Online, 1098-4275).

Copyright © 2014 by the American Academy of Pediatrics

**FINANCIAL DISCLOSURE:** The authors have no financial relationships relevant to this article to disclose.

**FUNDING:** Funding was provided by the American Board of Pediatrics Foundation.

**POTENTIAL CONFLICT OF INTEREST:** The authors have indicated they have no potential conflicts of interest to disclose.

There are 14 pediatric subspecialties for which the American Board of Pediatrics (ABP) offers certifying examinations. As part of a recent effort by the ABP to examine fellowship training, a task force was established to review the components of training and develop recommendations for any modifications deemed necessary. To inform the task force, several studies were undertaken to gain insights and perspectives from current fellows, those who had completed fellowship training, and program directors regarding their experiences and the perceived value of their training. Articles in this supplement detail the findings for each of those groups but are aggregated across all subspecialties.

Many differences in perspectives are hypothesized to exist across the panoply of pediatric subspecialties. However, few data exist that compare either trainees or practitioners across the subspecialties. This article provides the findings for each subspecialty in tables that allow comparison with all other subspecialties for each study population.

#### REFERENCES

 Pediatric fellowship project data – Program director survey, current fellow survey, recent graduate survey, and midcareer survey. 2013; Available at: http://pediatrics.aappublications.

#### **METHODS**

Methods for data collection have been described elsewhere in the supplement.

All data were first analyzed for missing or incomplete response patterns. Frequency distributions of all findings were prepared for each specific subspecialty. Next,  $\chi^2$  statistics were used to compare each subspecialty with the others. A *P* value <.05 was considered significant.

The study was approved by the University of Michigan Medical School Institutional Review Board.

#### RESULTS

Results for each question for each study population are shown in the tables provided in the online data supplement.<sup>1</sup> Supplemental Tables 1–29 are for program directors, Supplemental Tables 30–48 are for current fellows, Supplemental Tables 49–76 are for recent fellowship graduates, and Supplemental Tables 77–99 are for midcareer subspecialists. In addition to the results included in the online data supplement, data tables that include more extensive and detailed information on each of the 14 subspecialties in comparison with all other subspecialties combined are available on the ABP web site.<sup>2</sup>

#### **CONCLUSIONS**

There are significant differences between the subspecialties in their perceptions of a variety of issues involving fellowship training. Future efforts at fellowship reform must take into account the range of perspectives among the pediatric subspecialties with regard to training in clinical care, education, and research.

#### **ACKNOWLEDGEMENT**

The Research Advisory Committee of the American Board of Pediatrics: William F. Balistreri, MD, Dimitri A. Christakis, MD, MPH, Lewis R. First, MD, George Lister, MD, Julia A. McMillan, MD, Joseph W. St. Geme III, MD, Linda A. Althouse, PhD, Marshall L. Land Jr., MD, Gail A. McGuinness, MD, James A. Stockman III, MD

- org/lookup/suppl/doi:10.1542/peds.2013-3861F/-/ DCSupplemental. Accessed March 21, 2014
- American Board of Pediatrics. Pediatric fellowship project – Survey data by individual subspecialty. Available at: www.abp.org/links/ sctc.html. Accessed February 25, 2014

### Specialty Specific Comparisons Regarding Perspectives on Fellowship Training Gary L. Freed, Kelly M. Dunham, Lauren M. Moran, Laura Spera, Gail A. McGuinness and David K. Stevenson *Pediatrics* 2014;133;S76 DOI: 10.1542/peds.2013-3861F

Updated Information & Services	including high resolution figures, can be found at: http://pediatrics.aappublications.org/content/133/Supplement _2/S76.full.html
Supplementary Material	Supplementary material can be found at: http://pediatrics.aappublications.org/content/suppl/2014/04/3 0/peds.2013-3861F.DCSupplemental.html
Permissions & Licensing	Information about reproducing this article in parts (figures, tables) or in its entirety can be found online at: http://pediatrics.aappublications.org/site/misc/Permissions.xh tml
Reprints	Information about ordering reprints can be found online: http://pediatrics.aappublications.org/site/misc/reprints.xhtml

PEDIATRICS is the official journal of the American Academy of Pediatrics. A monthly publication, it has been published continuously since 1948. PEDIATRICS is owned, published, and trademarked by the American Academy of Pediatrics, 141 Northwest Point Boulevard, Elk Grove Village, Illinois, 60007. Copyright © 2014 by the American Academy of Pediatrics. All rights reserved. Print ISSN: 0031-4005. Online ISSN: 1098-4275.

